After ten minutes 1.00 cc. (0.05 mole) of cold water was added dropwise to the stirred solution, whereupon a vigorous, exothermic reaction occurred with evolution of carbon dioxide. The reaction mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature for 36 hours with occasional stirring. Then the mixture was hydrolyzed with 200 cc. of 10% sodium bicarbonate solution, and an insoluble oily material was filtered off, then washed with cold ether, water and again cold ether. There remained 11.4 g. of pink, crystalline material, m.p. 156.5–158.5° dec. Fractional crystallization from acetone gave, as the first crops, a total of 2.7 g. (22%) of the compound which has tentatively been assigned the structure 2,6-diphenyl-4-keto-1,3,5-oxadiazine (V), diamondshaped plates, m.p. 158.2–158.8°.

The acetone mother liquor upon concentration to progressively smaller volumes afforded a total of 7.3 g. (54%) of N,N'-dibenzoylurea (III), m.p. 214.0–215.0° dec., also in admixture with authentic III.

The dark, alkaline filtrate was combined with the wash solutions and steam distilled. The steam distillate was acidified with hydrochloric acid and extracted with ether. Upon distillation of the ether solution, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, there remained 2 cc. of liquid having the odor of benzonitrile.

An additional 0.30 g. (2%) of III was obtained by filtra-

tion of the alkaline residue from the steam distillation. The alkaline filtrate was extracted with ether and chloroform, then made acid with hydrochloric acid. There was obtained 21.3 g. (87%) of benzoic acid on filtration and by ether extraction.

(b) Addition of Water After 36 Hours.—Another reaction mixture identical to the one above, but without the immediate addition of water, turned dark and gave off 150 cc. of carbon dioxide during the course of 36 hours at room temperature. One cc. of water was then added dropwise to the stirred reaction mixture, whereupon a vigorous reaction occurred with evolution of carbon dioxide. From the dark mixture, treated with sodium bicarbonate solution as above, was filtered 9.0 g. of violet-black solid. The substance could not be purified by attempted crystallization from acetone.

After steam distillation of the alkaline filtrate there was obtained 1.1 g. of brown gummy material from the distillation residue. Several recrystallizations from acetone finally gave a trace of N,N'-dibenzoylurea (III).

From the alkaline filtrate, after acidification, there was obtained 25.3 g. (103%, based on the original benzoyl chloride) of benzoic acid.

LAWRENCE, KANSAS

.....

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE CENTRAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES, MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY]

Aralkyl and Carbanilinoalkyl Thiocyanates¹

BY ARTHUR H. SCHLESINGER AND DAVID T. MOWRY

Received June 24, 1953

The synthesis of various aralkyl and carbanilinoalkyl thiocyanates, $R-CH_2SCN$, is described. Structures wherein R = phenyl, monosubstituted phenyl, benzyl, phenylethyl, phenacyl, phenoxymethyl and 2,4-dichlorophenoxymethyl are of equal phytotoxicity in seed germination tests. When R = carbanilinomethyl and substituted carbanilinomethyl the phytotoxicity is comparable to that of the corresponding halide.

Reports in the literature concerning the insecticidal activity of various thiocyanates are numerous.² However, investigation of the phytotoxicity of various members of this class appears to have been neglected except for the work of Jones³ concerning α -naphthylmethyl thiocyanate. Therefore, it was of interest to prepare and study various thiocyanates, in order to determine the relation of structure to phytotoxicity.

The thiocyanates I of Table I were prepared by the reaction of the appropriate bromide or chloride with an equivalent or slight excess of potassium thiocyanate in hot ethanol or acetone. Yields varied from 29-96% but were mostly of the order of 80%. The reaction was allowed to progress

$$R_1$$
-CH₂-X + KSCN \longrightarrow R_1 -CH₂-SCN + KX

until the near-theoretical amount of potassium halide had been deposited. Intermediate carbanilates were prepared in 38-87.5% yields either by method A, which consisted of the reaction of a halohydrin with the appropriate aromatic isocyanate, or method B, the reaction of 2-chloroethyl chloroformate with the appropriate aromatic amine and pyridine in benzene.

(1) Presented before the 124th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Chicago, Ill., Sept. 7, 1953.

(2) R. Metcalf, Chem. Biol. Coördination Center, National Research Council, Washington, D. C., Review No. 1 (1948), reviews the insecticidal action of thiocyanates and presents the leading references. See also ref. 3.

(3) F. Jones, U. S. Patent 2,394,915 (1946).

(A) $X \rightarrow R \rightarrow OH + ArNCO \rightarrow ArNHCO_2 \rightarrow R \rightarrow X$


(B)
$$ClCO_2CH_2CH_2Cl + ArNH_2 + C_5H_5N \longrightarrow$$

 $ArNHCO_2CH_2CH_2Cl + C_5H_5N\cdot HCl$

Table II lists the yields and physical properties of the haloalkyl carbanilates that were prepared as intermediates.

Incidental to the preparation of 3-chlorobenzyl thiocyanate, 3-chlorobenzyl alcohol was prepared in 91% yield by reduction of 3-chlorobenzoic acid with lithium aluminum hydride. The alcohol was converted in 60% yield by thionyl chloride to 3-chlorobenzyl chloride along with a small amount (17%) of 3-chlorobenzyl ether.





Examination of the thiocyanates and other related materials for phytotoxicity was done by a slight modification of the method of Thompson, Swanson and Norman.⁴ Cucumber and wheat seeds (representing dicotyledenous and monocotyledenous species) were germinated in petri dishes

(4) H. Thompson, C. Swanson and A. Norman, Bot. Gazz., 107, 476 (1946).

$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	R	B.p. or m.p. °C.	, Mm.	$\mu^{25} \mathrm{D}$	Reacn. tíme, hr.ª.b	Yield, %	Formala	Caled.	ри, % Found	Hyılrı Caled.	уса, 76 Found	Nitroge Caled.	н, % Роанd
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$2-CI-C_6H_{1-}$	138 - 141	5	1.5878	\mathbf{I}^{q}	82	C ₈ H ₆ CINS	52.32	52,44	3.27	3.14		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3-C1-C ₆ H ₄ -	142 - 145	\$3	1.5827	1	87	C ₈ H ₆ CINS	52.32	52.80	3.27	3.45		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,4-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₂ -	M. 56.5-57.0			2^{d}	75.5	C ₈ H ₅ Cl ₅ NS	44.04	H. 11	2.30	2.31		
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} C_{\rm ell} GH_2^- & \mbox{III} GII-1G^{5} & \mbox{20} & \mbox{II} 5.51 & \mbox{21} & \mbox{22} & \mbox{23} & \mbox{23} & \mbox{24} & $	4(CH ₃) ₂ CH-C ₆ IL-	170-172	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	1.5509	ŝ	87	C ₁₁ H ₁₃ NS	69.11	69.38	6.81	6.57		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C ₆ II ₅ CH ₂ -	161 - 163	$\frac{50}{20}$	1.5598	4^{e}	83.5	C,H,NS	66.26	66.13	5.51	5.39		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C ₆ II ₅ OCH ₂ -	157 - 159	ı: C	1.5579	2.48	92	C,H,NOS	60.34	60.45	5.02	1 1 1		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,4Cl2C611,OCH2-	Crude oil ⁷			:5°	83'	C ₉ H ₇ Cl ₅ NOS	ŗ		•			
yl $4-CH_{3}O-C_{6}H_{3}-NHCO_{5}CH_{3}-M.69-70$ 120 29 $C_{0}H_{12}N_{5}O_{5}S$ 52.57 4.77 4.46 $4-NO_{2}-C_{6}H_{4}-NHCO_{5}CH_{2}-M.82-83$ 162 29 $C_{0}H_{3}N_{3}O_{6}S$ 41.95 $46.00'$ 3.37 3.56 $3-NO_{2}-C_{6}H_{4}-NHCO_{2}CH_{2}-M.79-81$ 97 48 $C_{10}H_{3}N_{3}O_{4}S$ 41.95 45.04 3.78 3.56 $1-4CH_{3}-C_{6}H_{4}-NHCO_{2}CH_{2}-M.56-57$ 67 78 $C_{11}H_{2}N_{2}O_{2}S$ 55.93 56.47 5.09 5.34 11.88 1 $2-CH_{3}-C_{6}H_{4}-NHCO_{2}CH_{2}-M.40-42$ 138 65 $C_{1}H_{4}N_{6}O_{2}S$ 55.93 56.47 5.09 5.34 11.88 1 $3-CL_{6}H_{4}-NHCO_{2}CH_{2}-M.40-42$ 138 65 $C_{1}H_{4}N_{6}O_{2}S$ 56.97 46.70 3.52 3.83 $3-CL_{6}H_{4}-NHCO_{2}CH_{2}-Cude oilb 29 C_{1}H_{2}N_{6}O_{2}S$ 56.93 56.47 5.09 5.34 11.88 1 $3-CL_{6}H_{4}-NHCO_{2}CH_{2}-Cude oilb 29 C_{1}H_{2}N_{6}O_{2}S$ 56.93 56.47 5.09 5.34 11.88 1 $3-CL_{6}H_{4}-NHCO_{2}CH_{2}-Cude oilb 27 C_{10}H_{4}CIN_{2}O_{2}S$ 46.78 46.70 3.52 3.83 10.32	C ₆ II ₅ NIICO ₅ CH ₂	M. 5859			$4^{d,e}$	8()	$C_{10}H_{10}N_2O_2S$					12.61	13 31
	4-CH ₃ O-C ₆ H ₃ -NHCO ₃ CH ₃	$_{2} \sim M.~69-70$			120	29	C ₍₁ H ₁₂ N ₂ O ₃ S	52.38	52.57	4.77	4.46		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₃ -				162	29	$C_{10}H_{g}N_{z}O_{4}S$	44.95	46.00^{9}	3.37	3.50		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	3-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ -				26	48	C ₁₀ H ₆ N ₃ O ₄ S	44.95	45.04	3.78	3,56		
1 2-CH ₄ -C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₇ - M. 40-42 138 65 C ₁₀ H ₄₂ N ₅ O ₂ S 16.78 46.78 46.78 11.88 1 3-Cl-C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - Crude oil ^b 139 72 C ₁₀ H ₄ ClN ₂ O ₂ S 46.78 46.78 46.78 3.83 11.88 1 3-Cl-C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - Crude oil ^b 2 ^o 95 Cu ₁ H ₄ ClN ₂ O ₅ S 48.79 48.85 4.06 3.89 10.32	4-CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄ -NIICO ₂ CH ₂ -				67	78	C ₁₁ H ₁₂ N ₂ O ₂ S	55.93	56.47	5.09	5.34		
3-Cl-C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - Crude oil ^b 139 72 C ₁₀ H ₃ ClN ₂ O ₅ S 46.78 46.70 3.52 3.83 A 3-Cl-C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ - Crude oil ^b 2 ^e 95 C ₁₁ H ₄ ClN ₂ O ₅ S 48.79 48.83 4.06 3.89 10.32	2-CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₅ -				138	63	C ₁₁ H ₁₂ N ₂ O ₂ S					11.88	11.52
3-Cl-CeII ₄ -NHCO ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ - Crude oil ⁶ 2 ^e 95 C ₁₁ H ₁₁ ClN ₅ O ₅ S 48.79 48.85 4.06 3.89 10.32	3-CI-C ₆ H ₄ NHCO ₂ CH ₂ -	$Crude oil^{\hbar}$			139	72	C ₁₀ H ₉ CIN ₂ O ₂ S	46.78	-16.70	3.52	3.83		
	:)-C1-C6H4-NHCO2(CH2)2-				Σ_{e}^{o}	913 313	C _{II} H _{II} CIN ₂ O ₅ S	48.79		4.06	3.89		9.84
n times are given for Robinson, 11. Hurtig		R 2-CI-C ₆ H ₁ - 3-CI-C ₆ H ₁ - 2,4-CI ₅ C ₆ H ₂ - 2,4-CI ₅ C ₆ H ₂ - C ₆ H ₃ OCH ₂ - C ₆ H ₄ OCH ₂ - 2,4-C ₅ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - 2,4-C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - 4-NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - 2,0-C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - 3,0-C ₆ H ₆ - 3,0-C ₆ H ₆ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - 3,0-C ₆ H ₆ - 3,0-C ₆ H ₆ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - 3,0-C ₆ H ₆	R B, p, or m.p. P, CI-C ₆ H ₁ - 2-CI-C ₆ H ₁ - 3-CI-C ₆ H ₄ - 2-CI-C ₆ H ₄ - 2-CI-C ₆ H ₄ - 2-4-CH ₃ D ₅ CH-C ₆ H ₄ - 4-CH ₃ D ₅ CH-C ₆ H ₄ - 1-20-172 C ₆ H ₃ CH ₂ - 1-20-172 C ₆ H ₃ CH ₂ - 1-20-172 C ₆ H ₃ CH ₂ - 1-20-172 1-20-172 1-20-172 1-20-172 1-20-172 1-20-172 1-20-172 1-20-172 1-20-172 1-20-172 1-20-173 1-20-17	$ { } { } { } { } { } { } { } { } { } { $	R B , O , O , Mm , $^{\mu^{SD}}$ 2 -Cl-C ₆ H ₁ - 138-141 5 1.5878 2 -Cl-C ₆ H ₁ - 138-141 5 1.5878 2 -Cl-C ₆ H ₁ - 138-141 5 1.5878 2 -Cl-C ₆ H ₁ - 122-145 2 1.5878 2 -Cl-C ₆ H ₂ - M. 56. 5-57.0 1.5599 1.5599 4 -CH ₃) ₂ CH-C ₆ H ₁ - 170-172 18 1.5599 C ₆ H ₃ /DCH ₂ - M. 56. 5-57.0 1.6579 2.0 1.5579 C ₆ H ₃ /DCH ₂ - Crude off 161-163 20 1.5579 C ₆ H ₃ /DCH ₂ - Crude off 1.577-159 5 1.5579 2.4-Cl ₂ C ₄ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - M. 58-59 6 7.0 4.042 2.4-Cl ₄ O-C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - M. 56-57 2.0 1.5579 4.042 3NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - M. 40-42 3.00-26H ₄ -NHCO ₂ CH ₂ - M. 40-42 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2	R B.p. or m.p., oC. m.p., Mm. μ^{SD} Mm. μ^{SD} Mm. μ^{SD} Mm. μ^{SD} Mm. μ^{SD} 2-Cl-C ₆ H ₁ - 138-141 5 1.5878 1 ^d 3-Cl-C ₆ H ₁ - 138-141 5 1.5878 1 ^d 3-Cl-C ₆ H ₁ - 142-145 2 1.5878 1 ^d 2-4Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₄ - M. 56. 5-57.0 2 ^d 2 ^d 2 ^d 2.4-Cl ₂ C ₄ H ₂ - 170-172 18 1.5509 3 ^d C ₆ H ₅ OCH ₂ - 161-165 20 1.5579 2 ^d C ₆ H ₅ OCH ₂ - 157-159 5 1.5579 2 ^d C ₆ H ₅ OCH ₂ - 0 1.5779 24 4 ^{d,e} C ₆ H ₁ SOCH ₂ - 0 1.5779 24 5 ^d C ₆ H ₄ SNHCO ₂ CH ₂ - M. 58-53 6 5 ^d 5 ^d C ₆ H ₄ SNHCO ₂ CH ₂ - M. 58-53 6 5 ^d 5 ^d 5 ^d C ₆ H ₄ SNHCO ₂ CH ₂ - M. 58-53 6 7 ^{d,e} 5 ^d 5 ^d 5 ^d 5 ^d 5 ^d	R ^{B.p.} or m.p., oC. $m_{m^{SD}}$ <td>R B.p. or m.p., oC. Mm. μ^{so} time, int. Yield, π^{so} Formula 2-C1-C₆H₁- 138-141 5 1.5878 1^d 82 C₆H₆C1NS 3-C1-C₆H₁- 138-141 5 1.5878 1^d 82 C₆H₆C1NS 3-C1-C₆H₁- 142-145 2 1.5878 1 87 C₆H₆C1NS 2-4 Cl₁C₆H₁- 170-172 18 1.5530 2^d 75.5 C₆H₆C1NS 2-4 Cl₁C₆H₁- 170-172 18 1.5570 2^d 75.5 C₆H₆NS C₆H₁SCH₂- 161-165 20 1.5570 248 835 C₆H₆NOS C₆H₁SCH₂- 157-159 5 1.5570 248 92 C₆H₆NOS C₆H₆N1CO₂CH₂- C₁H₆N1CO₂CH₂- 1.5771 248 89 C₁H₁₀NOS C₆H₆N1CO₂CH₂- M. 56-57 6 1.5570 248 C₁H₁₀NOS C₆H₁SV1ICO₂CH₂- M. 56-57 1.5571 248 80<</td> <td>R $^{B}g_{1}$ or m.p., $^{Resch.}$ Yield, $T_{2}^{Resch.}$ Yield, $T_{2}^{Resch.}$ Call $T_{2}^{Resch.}$ Call $T_{2}^{Resch.}$ Call $T_{2}^{Resch.}$ <th< td=""><td>R $^{B,p,or,m,p.}_{OC}$, $^{reach}_{mm}$, $^{reach}_{me}$, $^{reach}_{Z}$, $^{reach}_$</td><td>R $^{B}g_{1}$ or m.p., M.m. $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Reach hu. $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Yield, Solution Formula Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Hand</td><td>R B.p. or m.p. Factor Yield Formula Carbon, $\frac{6}{47}$ Hydrogen, $\frac{7}{6}$ 2-C1-GHr 138-141 5 1.5873 1⁴ 82 C₃H₆CNS 52.32 52.44 3.27 3.45 3-C1-GHr 138-141 5 1.5873 1 82 C₃H₆CNS 52.32 52.44 3.27 3.45 3-C1-GHr 138-141 5 1.5873 1 87 C₃H₆CNS 52.32 52.44 3.27 3.45 3-C1-GHr 112-172 18 1.5509 3 87 C₁H₁₄NS 60.11 60.38 66.13 5.73 3.45 2-4-Cl₂C₄H₀CHr² 157-139 5 1.5579 28 2,4,4,30S 60.14 60.145 5.02 4.77 4.46 3-10.5CHr 161-163 20 1.5579 218 92 C₉H₉A₁₀OS 60.14 60.145 66.13 5.02 4.77 4.46 2-4-Cl₂C₄H₀CHr M58-59 7.6_{14}A_{10}OS<td>B.p. or m.p., Mm., n^{sto} Treatm., n^{sto} Yield, n^{sto} Formula Carton, n^{st}_{n} Hydrogen, n^{st}_{n} ^{0}C, m_{m}, n^{sto} n^{stond} $n^{$</td></td></th<></td>	R B.p. or m.p., oC. Mm. μ^{so} time, int. Yield, π^{so} Formula 2-C1-C ₆ H ₁ - 138-141 5 1.5878 1 ^d 82 C ₆ H ₆ C1NS 3-C1-C ₆ H ₁ - 138-141 5 1.5878 1 ^d 82 C ₆ H ₆ C1NS 3-C1-C ₆ H ₁ - 142-145 2 1.5878 1 87 C ₆ H ₆ C1NS 2-4 Cl ₁ C ₆ H ₁ - 170-172 18 1.5530 2 ^d 75.5 C ₆ H ₆ C1NS 2-4 Cl ₁ C ₆ H ₁ - 170-172 18 1.5570 2 ^d 75.5 C ₆ H ₆ NS C ₆ H ₁ SCH ₂ - 161-165 20 1.5570 248 835 C ₆ H ₆ NOS C ₆ H ₁ SCH ₂ - 157-159 5 1.5570 248 92 C ₆ H ₆ NOS C ₆ H ₆ N1CO ₂ CH ₂ - C ₁ H ₆ N1CO ₂ CH ₂ - 1.5771 248 89 C ₁ H ₁₀ NOS C ₆ H ₆ N1CO ₂ CH ₂ - M. 56-57 6 1.5570 248 C ₁ H ₁₀ NOS C ₆ H ₁ SV1ICO ₂ CH ₂ - M. 56-57 1.5571 248 80<	R $^{B}g_{1}$ or m.p., $^{Resch.}$ Yield, $T_{2}^{Resch.}$ Yield, $T_{2}^{Resch.}$ Call $T_{2}^{Resch.}$ Call $T_{2}^{Resch.}$ <th< td=""><td>R $^{B,p,or,m,p.}_{OC}$, $^{reach}_{mm}$, $^{reach}_{me}$, $^{reach}_{Z}$, $^{reach}_$</td><td>R $^{B}g_{1}$ or m.p., M.m. $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Reach hu. $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Yield, Solution Formula Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Hand</td><td>R B.p. or m.p. Factor Yield Formula Carbon, $\frac{6}{47}$ Hydrogen, $\frac{7}{6}$ 2-C1-GHr 138-141 5 1.5873 1⁴ 82 C₃H₆CNS 52.32 52.44 3.27 3.45 3-C1-GHr 138-141 5 1.5873 1 82 C₃H₆CNS 52.32 52.44 3.27 3.45 3-C1-GHr 138-141 5 1.5873 1 87 C₃H₆CNS 52.32 52.44 3.27 3.45 3-C1-GHr 112-172 18 1.5509 3 87 C₁H₁₄NS 60.11 60.38 66.13 5.73 3.45 2-4-Cl₂C₄H₀CHr² 157-139 5 1.5579 28 2,4,4,30S 60.14 60.145 5.02 4.77 4.46 3-10.5CHr 161-163 20 1.5579 218 92 C₉H₉A₁₀OS 60.14 60.145 66.13 5.02 4.77 4.46 2-4-Cl₂C₄H₀CHr M58-59 7.6_{14}A_{10}OS<td>B.p. or m.p., Mm., n^{sto} Treatm., n^{sto} Yield, n^{sto} Formula Carton, n^{st}_{n} Hydrogen, n^{st}_{n} ^{0}C, m_{m}, n^{sto} n^{stond} $n^{$</td></td></th<>	R $^{B,p,or,m,p.}_{OC}$, $^{reach}_{mm}$, $^{reach}_{me}$, $^{reach}_{Z}$, $^{reach}_$	R $^{B}g_{1}$ or m.p., M.m. $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Reach hu. $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Yield, Solution Formula Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Son Carbon, $^{\mu}s_{2}$ Handle Hand	R B.p. or m.p. Factor Yield Formula Carbon, $\frac{6}{47}$ Hydrogen, $\frac{7}{6}$ 2-C1-GHr 138-141 5 1.5873 1 ⁴ 82 C ₃ H ₆ CNS 52.32 52.44 3.27 3.45 3-C1-GHr 138-141 5 1.5873 1 82 C ₃ H ₆ CNS 52.32 52.44 3.27 3.45 3-C1-GHr 138-141 5 1.5873 1 87 C ₃ H ₆ CNS 52.32 52.44 3.27 3.45 3-C1-GHr 112-172 18 1.5509 3 87 C ₁ H ₁₄ NS 60.11 60.38 66.13 5.73 3.45 2-4-Cl ₂ C ₄ H ₀ CHr ² 157-139 5 1.5579 28 2,4,4,30S 60.14 60.145 5.02 4.77 4.46 3-10.5CHr 161-163 20 1.5579 218 92 C ₉ H ₉ A ₁₀ OS 60.14 60.145 66.13 5.02 4.77 4.46 2-4-Cl ₂ C ₄ H ₀ CHr M58-59 7.6_{14}A_{10}OS <td>B.p. or m.p., Mm., n^{sto} Treatm., n^{sto} Yield, n^{sto} Formula Carton, n^{st}_{n} Hydrogen, n^{st}_{n} ^{0}C, m_{m}, n^{sto} n^{stond} $n^{$</td>	B.p. or m.p., Mm., n^{sto} Treatm., n^{sto} Yield, n^{sto} Formula Carton, n^{st}_{n} Hydrogen, n^{st}_{n} ^{0}C , m_{m} , n^{sto} n^{stond} $n^{$

l. / The ⊱ ^ difficult t g material. was very d l as starting 1 is material w This *i* Bromide used 2 manner. solvent. the usual

TABLE II Haloalkvl Carbanilates, AfNHCO2CH(R1)(R2)	Method M P. or b. p., Vield, R_2 of prep. (mu.), c_0^2 Pormula	- $CH_2CH_2CI = \Lambda^{a,b} = 154$ (1) 83 $C_{1b}H_{12}CINO_2$	-CH ₂ Br A 76-77 87.5 C ₉ H ₁₀ BrNO ₂	CH ₂ Cl A 50-51 59 C ₅ H ₅ Cl ₂ NO ₂ 46.16	1	-CH5Cl B 75.5-76.0° 41 C ₉ H ₉ Cl ₂ NO ₂ 46.16	-CH ₂ CI B 64-65 73.5 C ₃ H ₃ ClN ₂ O ₄ 44.17	-CH ₃ Cl B 93.5-94.0 58.5 C ₃ H ₃ ClN ₂ O ₄ 44.17	-CH ₃ Cl B 117–118 59 C ₉ H ₉ ClN ₂ O ₄ 44.17	-CH ₂ Cl B 77.5-78.5 66 C ₉ H ₈ Cl ₃ NO ₂ 40.22	-CH ₂ Cl B 86-87 71.5 C ₃ H ₈ Cl ₃ NO ₂ 40.22	$-CH_{3}CI$ B 194 196 (5) ⁷ 70 $C_{13}H_{16}CINO_{2}$ (5) 49	- CILCI B 90-91 51.5 C ₁₀ H ₁₂ CINO ₅ 52.29	$-CH_{4}CH_{2}Br = A^{d} = 192 - 197 (3) = 81 = C_{10}H_{11}B_{1}C1NO_{2} = -11.02$	^a We are indebted to Mr. Richard Morner of these laboratories for the initial preparation of this material. ^b Chloroform was employed as solvent; in all other preparations using method A no solvent was used nuless specified otherwise. ^c $Anal$, Colod for C.II. CVNO. 7, c for E_{end} , V_{e} for c II contains a solvent was used unless specified otherwise. ^c $Anal$, Colod for C.II. CVNO.
Нагоаркуг Сакв		$ \Lambda^{a,b} $	Α	Υ	\mathbf{A}^{i} I	8	В	В	B	8	В	B	В	\mathbf{A}^{d}	Mr. Richard Morner of these k 15 in all other preparations usi 2 V 6 56 Found V 6 55
	А г К,	Н	II	-C1-C ₆ H ₄ - II	-CI-C ₆ H ₄ CII ₃	I-CI-C ₆ II ₄ - H	2-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₁ - II	3-NO ₂ -C ₆ H _f - II	4-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ 11	2,4-Cl ₂ -C ₆ H ₂ -H	2,5-Cl ₂ -C ₆ H ₃ - 1I	-C ₆ II ₅ -C ₆ H _. - II	-CH ₃ O-C ₆ H ₂ II	3-C1-C ₆ II ₄ - 11	Interindebted to Hoyed as solven or CuttaChMO.

46.00). ^h The oil could not be crystallized or distilled at 3 mm. vacuum without decomposition. Therefore, it was carefully dried *in vacuo* at approximately 50° for several

days prior to analysis.

in the presence of aqueous solutions or suspensions of the test chemical. The results, see Table III, are expressed as percentage of the normal growth of the primary root in a water control. 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid and isopropyl carbanilate, commercially important herbicides, are included for comparison. In general, the phytotoxicity exhibited by benzyl thiocyanate (I, $R_1 = C_6H_5$) at 100 parts per million is unaffected by the introduction of monosubstituents in any of the ring positions while disubstitution markedly decreases activity. Variations of 1 in which R_1 is $C_6H_5CH_2$ -, $C_6H_5CH_2CH_2$ -, C_6H_5CO -, $C_6H_5OCH_2$ -, and 2,4- $Cl_2C_6H_3OCH_2$ - exhibit no loss of phytotoxicity. Comparison of the activities of the 2-chloroethyl substituted carbanilates with their corresponding

TABLE 1: ARALKYL AND CARBANILINOALKYL THIOCYANATES R CHESCN

587

Table III

PHYTOTOXICITY OF THIOCYANATES AND RELATED COM-POUNDS TO GERMINATING CUCUMBER AND WHEAT SEEDS

	Cuci	ımber	Wh	
	100	ntage ro 10	100 100	10
	p.p.m.	p.p.m.	p.p.m.	p.p.m.
Thiocya	nate			
$Benzyl^a$	3	83	13	70
4-Nitrobenzyl ^b	7	66		
2-Chlorobenzyl	5	105		
3-Chlorobenzyl	12	93	33	97
4-Chlorobenzy1 [°]	14	91		
2,4-Dichlorobenzyl	55	115		
4- <i>i</i> -Propylbenzyl	$\overline{5}$	75		
1-Phenylethyl ^d	33	88		
2-Phenylethyl	8	71		
3-Phenylpropyl ^e	9	67		
1-Phenyl-2-propyl ¹	30	90	33	85
Phenacyl ^ø	20	115		
2-Phenoxyethyl	10	87		
2-(2,4-Dichlorophenoxy)-ethyl	10	20	22	50
α-Naphthylmethyl ⁱ	46	101		
2-Carbanilinoethyl	5	6	6	21
2-(3-Chlorocarbanilino)-ethyl	7	7	11	25
2-(3-Nitrocarbanilino)-ethyl	14	41		
2-(4-Nitrocarbanilino)-ethyl	25	72		
2-(2-Methylcarbanilino)-ethyl	4	8		
2-(4-Methylcarbanilino)-ethyl	9	54	55	105
2-(4-Methoxycarbanilino)-ethyl	6	30		
3-(3-Chlorocarbanilino)-propyl	24	43	17	70
<i>n</i> -Butyl'	94	100		
Phenyl thiocyanoacetate ^d	40	87		
Potassium thiocyanoacetate ^h	30	89		
Carbani	lates			
2-Chloroethyl	11	24	7	12
2-Chloroethyl 3-chloro-	5	14	4	12
2-Chloroethyl 4-chloro-	17	68	20	84
2-Chloroethyl 2-nitro-	20	82		
2-Chloroethyl 3-nitro-	11	53		
2-Chloroethyl 4-nitro-	26	73		
2-Chloroethyl 2-methyl-	17	75		
2-Chloroethyl 4-methyl-	8	41	22	77
2-Chloroethyl 2,4-dichloro-	38	79		
2-Chloroethyl 2,5-dichloro-	15	36		
2-Chloroethyl 2-phenyl-	50	95		
2-Chloroethyl 4-methoxy-	37	77		
Isopropyl	7	11	2	8
Benzyl chloride	77	97		
2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid	6	9	5	21

^a Obtained from Eastman Kodak Co. ^b C. Jackson and F. Whitmore, THIS JOURNAL, **37**, 1915 (1915). ^c C. Jackson and A. Field, Am. Chem. J., **2**, 85 (1880). ^d H. Wheeler and T. Johnson, *ibid.*, **26**, 185 (1901). ^e E. Bergmann, J. Chem. Soc., 1361 (1935). ^f J. Kenyon, H. Phillips and V. Pittman, *ibid.*, 1072 (1935). ^e L. Arapides, Ann., 7 (1888). ^h P. Claesson, Ber., **10**, 1346 (1877). ⁱ Reference 3. ^j R. Kaufmann and R. Adams, THIS JOURNAL, **45**, 1744 (1923).

2-(substituted carbanilino)-ethyl thiocyanates indicates that replacement of chlorine by thiocyanate has a negligible effect on the already toxic molecule. The 4-methoxy derivative is an exception to this generalization, the thiocyanate being appreciably more phytotoxic. Thus the thiocyanate moiety appears to be the phytotoxic essential in the aralkyl type thiocyanates while in the carbanilino type the carbanilate residue is the active portion.

Experimental⁵

Aralkyl and Carbanilinoalkyl Thiocyanates (I),—The preparation of 2-phenylethyl thiocyanate and 2-(4-methyl-carbanilino)-ethyl thiocyanate are illustrative of the preparation of the two types.

A 2-liter flask was charged with 60 g. (0.324 mole) of 2-phenylethyl bromide, 32 g. (0.330 mole) of potassium thiocyanate and 1 liter of acetone. After 4 hr. of refluxing, 37 g. of potassium bromide (theoretical KBr = 38.6 g.) was removed by filtration and the filtrate diluted with sufficient water to throw out an oil. The oil was separated and a chloroform extract of the aqueous phase added to it. The chloroform solution after drying over sodium sulfate was concentrated *in vacuo* and distilled. There was obtained 2-phenylethyl thiocyanate, a white liquid with cinnamon-like odor, b.p. 161–163° at 20 mm., n^{25} D 1.5598. The yield was 44 g. or 83.5%.

Anal. Caled. for C₉H₉NS: C, 66.26; H, 5.51. Found: C, 66.13; H, 5.39.

To 500 ml. of boiling absolute ethanol there was added 30 g. (0.14 mole) of 2-chloroethyl 4-methylcarbanilate and 14.5 g. (0.15 mole) of potassium thiocyanate. The solution was refluxed for 67 hr. and filtered while hot to remove 9.9 g. of potassium chloride (theoretical KCl = 10.5 g.). The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo*, water was added and an oil separated. The aqueous layer was washed with chloroform and the organic extract combined with the oil. Attempts to crystallize the oil out of the chloroform or from chloroform-hexane resulted in *vacuo*. After standing at room temperature several days it solidified to pale lemon needles, m.p. $56-57^{\circ}$, yield 25.8 g. or 78%.

Anal. Caled. for $C_{11}H_{12}N_2O_2S$: C, 55.93; H, 5.09. Found: C, 56.47; H, 5.34.

Table I summarizes the reaction conditions, physical properties of the products, yields and analytical data of the other thiocyanates.

Haloalkyl Carbanilates.—These were prepared by either A, the reaction of a halohydrin and the appropriate isocyanate, or B, the reaction of 2-chloroethyl chloroformate and the appropriate aromatic amine. The preparation of 3bromopropyl 3-chlorocarbanilate and 2-chloroethyl 2,4dichlorocarbanilate are presented as examples of methods A and B, respectively.

To 100 ml. of hexane there was added 28 g. (0.20 mole) of trimethylene bromohydrin and 30.7 g. (0.20 mole) of 3chlorophenyl isocyanate. The solution spontaneously became warm after which it was refluxed overnight. The two-phase system was concentrated on a vacuum column to remove hexane and 3-bromopropyl 3-chlorocarbanilate was obtained as a thick oil, b.p. 192–197° at 3 mm., 47 g. (81%) yield.

Anal. Caled. for $C_{10}H_{11}BrClNO_2;\ C,\ 41.02;\ H,\ 3.76.$ Found: C, 41.51; H, 3.88.

A solution of 60 g. (0.37 mole) of 2,4-dichloroaniline and 29.2 g. (0.37 mole) of pyridine in 300 ml. of benzene was cautiously treated with 53 g. (0.37 mole) of 2-chloroethyl chloroformate. After the initial exothermic reaction had subsided the solution was refluxed for 2 hours and then cooled. The benzene phase was separated from the pyridine hydrochloride and washed successively with water, dilute hydrochloric acid, dilute sodium carbonate and water. The benzene was removed in vacuo and the residue twice crystallized from hexane-chloroform to give 64.5 g. (66%) of white needles, m.p. 77.5–78.5°.

Anal. Caled. for C₉H₈Cl₃NO₂: C, 40.22; H, 2.98. Found: C, 40.52; H, 2.89.

The summary of reaction conditions, physical properties and analytical data of other carbanilates is found in Table II.

 β -(2,4-Dichlorophenoxy)-ethyl Bromide.—In a 3-liter 3neck flask, a suspension of 326 g. (2.0 moles) of 2,4-dichlorophenol, 470 g. (2.5 moles) of ethylene dibromide and 1 liter of water was brought to reflux. A solution of 84 g. (2.1 moles) of sodium hydroxide in 250 ml. of water was dripped into the boiling solution over a 1-hr. period. The solution was refluxed and stirred for 10 hr. After cooling, the layer was separated and distilled. There was obtained 79 g. of ethylene dibromide, b.p. 38-41° at 24 mm.; 35 g. of 2,4-

(5) All melting points listed are corrected.

dichlorophenol, b.p. 105–108° at 24 mm., and 368 g. of β -(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)-ethyl bromide, b.p. 157–159° at 10 mm., n^{25} D 1.5785. The yield based upon the phenol is 69%.

Anal. Caled. for C₈H₇BrCl₂O: C, 35.58; H, 2.59. Found: C, 36.03; H, 2.67.

3-Chlorobenzyl Alcohol.—This material had previously only been reported as being prepared by electrolytic reduction of 3-chlorobenzoic acid.⁶ A more convenient laboratory procedure is as follows. In a 3-liter 3-neck flask equipped with stirrer, reflux condenser and dropping funnel there was prepared a solution of 52 g. (1.38 moles, 50% excess) of lithium aluminum hydride in 500 ml. of anhydrous ether. To this stirred solution there was added over a 2-hr. period, a slurry of 192 g. (1.23 moles) of 3chlorobenzoic acid in 800 ml. of ether. The excess hydride was then carefully decomposed by dropwise addition of about 150 ml. (excess) ethyl acetate. The flask was cooled in an ice-bath and a stream of iced concentrated hydrochloric acid was slowly added. After vigorous stirring the solids partially dissolved into the aqueous layer. The other was removed by decantation and 600 ml. of 10% sulfuric acid was added to the aqueous phase. This dissolved the remaining aluminum salts. The solutions combined. The ether was washed successively with water, potassiun carbonate and dilute ferrous sulfate. The ether was removed by distillation and 3-chlorobenzyl alcohol was obtained as a white liquid, b.p. 131-132° at 19 mm. (lit.⁶ value, 234° at atm. pressure), m^{36} p 1.5521, yield 159 g. or 91%.

3-Chlorobenzyl Chloride and 3-Chlorobenzyl Ether.—The chloride had been prepared previously by the chlorination of toluene.⁷ In our hands the following was a more convenient synthesis. To 100 g. (excess) of thionyl chloride in 100 ml. of benzene there was added 52 g. (0.36 mole) of 3-chlorobenzyl alcohol over a 10-min. period. The solvent and excess thionyl chloride were removed by distillation. There was obtained 34 g. (60%) of 3-chlorobenzyl chloride, b.p. 101-103° at 17 mm. (lit.⁷ value, 104° at 17 mm.), n^{25} D. 1.5526.

Fractionation of the high-boiling pot residue yielded 8 g. (17%) of 3-chlorobenzyl ether, b.p. $195-199^{\circ}$ at 4 mm., n^{25} D 1.5787.

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{14}H_{12}Cl_2O$: C, 62.92; H, 4.50. Found: C, 62.95; H, 5.00.

Procedure for Herbicidal Assay.—The method is substantially that described by Thompson, *et al.*,⁴ with the modifications noted by Schlesinger and Mowry.⁸ Where compounds were tested with wheat, the procedure was the same except for substitution of wheat seeds for cucumber seeds in the petri dishes. The data obtained are listed in Table III.

Acknowledgment.—The authors wish to express their gratitude to Mrs. Emma Mori, Mr. Richard Martin and Dr. R. M. Hedrick for the herbicidal evaluation data and to Miss Mary Neal, Mrs. Betty Kosicki, Mrs. Winifred Harden, and Messrs. Paul Adams and Donald Stoltz for the microanalyses.

(7) G. Bennett and B. Jones, J. Chem. Soc., 1815 (1935).

(8) A. Schlesinger and D. Mowry, THIS JOURNAL, 73, 2614 (1951). DAYTON, OHIO

[CONTRIBUTION FROM STERLING-WINTHROP RESEARCH INSTITUTE]

Alkylaminoalkylamino Derivatives of Xanthones, Acridones and Anthraquinones

By S. Archer, Lois B. Rochester and Mary Jackman

Received September 8, 1953

The three series mentioned in the title were prepared from appropriately halogenated nuclei and substituted alkylenediannines. The acridones and anthraquinones were ineffective schistosomicidal agents but moderately high activity against *S. mansoni* infections in mice was found among the xanthones.

At the time that we were preparing a series of basic thiaxanthones¹ for evaluation as schistosomicidal drugs we synthesized three groups of isosteric compounds in which the sulfur atom of the thiaxanthone nucleus was replaced by oxygen, imino and carbonyl groups to furnish the corresponding xanthones, acridones and anthraquinones. The description of the preparation of these substances and some of the preliminary chemotherapeutic results form the basis of this report.

Heller² prepared 1-chloro-4-methylanthraquinone and demonstrated the lability of the halogen by effecting a replacement reaction with aniline at high temperature.³ We found that a 1-chloroanthraquinone and an alkylenediamine such as diethylaminopropylamine reacted smoothly in pyridine solution within a few hours to give the desired 1-aminoanthraquinone which was isolated and purified as the hydrochloride. The compounds listed in Table I were all prepared according to this general technique.

The 1-chloroacridones needed in this work were prepared by the customary Ullmann method.

(1) S. Intellet and E. P. Bitter, Ber., 41, 3627 (1908).
 (2) G. Heller and K. Schülke, Ber., 41, 3627 (1908).

Since Nisbet⁴ reported that a 1-piperidinoacridone could be prepared from piperidine and a 1-chloroacridone it seemed likely that the method used successfully for the synthesis of the basic anthraquinones could be used here. When a pyridine solution of 3-diethylamino-2-hydroxypropylamine and 1-chloro-4-methylacridone was refluxed for 16 hours only starting material was isolated from the reaction mixture. By omitting the pyridine it was possible to raise the reaction temperature sufficiently to permit the condensation to take place in a reasonable time. The method adopted for preparing the compounds listed in Table II is described in the Experimental part.

Mauss³ prepared many 1-(2-dialkylaminoalkylamino)-xanthones, at least two of which showed high schistosomicidal activity. These were 1-(2diethylaminoethylamino-4-methylxanthone (III, R = H) and the corresponding 7-chloro (III, R =Cl) derivative. The required nuclei (II) were prepared from 2-methyl-5-chlorophenol⁶ and an appropriate 2-halobenzoic acid followed by ring closure of the intermediate phenoxybenzoic acid

(6) Th. Zincke and O. Preiss, Ann., 417, 207 (1918).

⁽⁶⁾ C. Mettler, Ber., 38, 1745 (1905).

⁽¹⁾ S. Archer and C. M. Suter, THIS JOURNAL, 74, 4296 (1952).

⁽³⁾ G. Heller, ibid., 45, 792 (1912).

⁽⁴⁾ H. B. Nisbet, J. Chem. Soc., 2772 (1932)

⁽⁵⁾ H. Mauss, Chem. Ber., 81, 19 (1948).